THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE V.ESWARAIAH

W.P.NO.4581 OF 2006

Dt.9.3.2006

Between:

Saga Peddi Reddy … Petitioner

And

The District Collector,

Ranga Reddy District, Hyderabad

And others. … Respondents

ORDER:

Petitioner seeks writ of mandamus to direct the respondents to consider the representation dated 13.12.2005 for implementation of the orders passed in Proc.No.J/3129/2000 dt.4.8.2003 of respondent No.2 in Revenue Records and consequently declare the action of the respondents in not mutating the petitioner's name for Sy.Nos.289/3 admeasuring Ac.0.03 guntas and Sy.No.289/124 admeasuring Ac.0.16 guntas and in not recording the name of the petitioner for Sy.No.289/53 admeasuring Ac.1.20 guntas and Sy.No.289/54 admeasuring Ac.1.15 guntas in pattadar pass book and title deed as illegal and arbitrary and also contrary to the Andhra Pradesh (Telangana Area) Abolition of Inams Act, 1955 (for short 'the Act') and Rules made thereunder.

The case of the petitioner is that the Special Grade Deputy Collector & Revenue Divisional Officer, Ranga Reddy East Division, by proceeding No.J/3129/2000 dt.4.8.2003 recognized the petitioner as an occupant in respect of the land specified in the schedule of the proceedings to an extent of Ac.15.03 gts., of various Survey Numbers and the petitioner has remitted Rs.1400/- towards the entire premium amount vide challan dated 31.5.2003 and the petitioner is entitled to occupy the said land in accordance with the provisions of Section 8 of the Act. Pursuant to the same, the Mandal Revenue Officer, Ibrahimpatnam Mandal, issued memo No.D/3365/2003 dated 2.3.2004 stating that pursuant to the orders of the Revenue Divisional Officer dated 4.8.2003 there are certain objections with regard to the extent of land in Sy.No.289/3 admeasuring Ac.0.03 guntas and in Sy.No.289/124 admeasuring Ac.0.16 guntas and therefore it is not possible to issue pattedar passbooks in respect of the said lands. Accordingly, the petitioner made a representation on 13.12.2005 to consider the objections and mutate the name of the petitioner in the revenue records in respect of the said land admeasuring Ac.0.03 guntas in Sy.No.289/3 and Ac.0.16 guntas in Sy.No.289/124. But the said representation has not been considered and disposed of by the 2nd respondent.

Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned Government Pleader for Revenue on behalf of the respondents.

Having regard to the facts and circumstances of the case, the 2nd respondent is directed to consider and dispose of the said representation dated 13.12.2005 in accordance with law after giving reasonable opportunity to the objectors, if any, and pass appropriate orders.

The writ petition is disposed of accordingly. No order as to costs.

---

(V.ESWARAIAH, J.)

9.3.2006

kpr